GOP Tax Reform and New Disability Plan Regulations:
Impact on Executive Compensation and Employee Benefit Plans

Nona K. Massengill and Brydon M. DeWitt

WILLIAMS MULLEN

### Overview

- >Tax Reform enacted in late 2017 included key changes to executive compensation
- > Health and welfare benefits also affected
- > Disability benefit claims procedures are another development affecting benefit plans now
  - These procedures are being implemented by the DOL (not under the new tax legislation)

# compensation deduction limits for publicly-traded companies

- "Performance-based compensation" and commission exceptions to the \$1 million deduction cap is eliminated
- >Group of affected corporations subject to the \$1 million limit is expanded
  - Now covers corporations required to file reports under Section 15(d) of the 1934 Act
- >Group of covered executives is expanded, for any year beginning after 12/31/16
  - PFO (CFO) is now expressly covered
  - Once a covered executive, always a covered executive
    - Can be relevant in transactions; departures

# compensation deduction limits for publicly-traded companies (Cont'd)

- >Transition rule is important right now and for the next few years
  - Grandfather applies to amounts paid pursuant to a written contract in effect on 11/2/17
  - No material modification on or after that date
  - Outstanding bonus or equity awards
  - Compensation promised under employment agreements
    - Fairly limited situation where re-approval of performance measures could be needed

# compensation deduction limits for publicly-traded companies (Cont'd)

- >Transition rule cont'd
  - Negative discretion
    - See Treas. Reg. sec. 1.162-27(e)(2)(iii)
    - 162(m)-qualifying committee must still certify results in accordance with historic practice
- > Fiscal year employers limitation applies to taxable years beginning after 12/31/17
  - Transition rule "contract in effect date" is not changed
- >Non-tax reasons for performance-based components of executive pay remain important e.g., incentives to attain strategic corporate goals, ISS preferences

# Deferral elections for Stock Option and RSU Plans –Section 83(i)

- >Stock Options and RSUs granted by closely-held employers can result in taxation without liquidity
- >Employees have some choice in when to exercise an option, but RSUs generally prescribe the vesting or settlement date
- >New tax law creates an opportunity for certain employees to elect to defer income recognition for up to 5 years
  - No stock is or has been "readily tradable on an established securities market"
    - This is an open issue for OTC Markets Group Inc. companies
    - As determined by the Secretary market must be so recognized for at least one other IRC purpose WILLIAMS MULLEN Finding years

# Deferral elections for Stock Option and RSU Plans –Section 83(i) (Cont'd)

- Not available to certain officers, owners and highly compensated employees ("excluded employee")
- 1% owners; past/present PEO/PFO or their 318(a)(i) relatives; past/present 4 most highly compensated officers ("past" is a 10-year lookback)
- >Elect w/in 30 days of no SRF or, if sooner, transferability
- >Deferral ends on IPO, if stock becomes transferable (including to company), or individual holding the award becomes an excluded employee

# Deferral elections for Stock Option and RSU Plans –Section 83(i) (Cont'd)

- >New law also creates an employer obligation to <u>notify</u> employees of this right
  - Must notify at the time, or within a reasonable period of time before, any amount would be includible in gross income
  - Penalties for failure to notify
- >Applies to awards under a broad-based written program, under which at least 80% of employees receive grants

# Deferral elections for Stock Option and RSU Plans –Section 83(i) (Cont'd)

- >ISOs and IRC section 423 ESPPs also covered, but use of deferral election negates special tax treatment
  - Tax treatment applies only to an employee who makes an election
  - Now, 83(i) compliance is a condition of "423" ESPP status
- >Limits on availability of deferral right:
  - An 83(b) election has been made
  - Certain stock buy-backs have occurred
  - Employer was previously a publicly-traded corporation

## **Excise Taxes on Tax-Exempt Employers**

- >21% excise tax imposed on tax-exempt employers paying compensation in excess of \$1 million
- >Covered employers:
  - Employers exempt under IRC section 510(a)
  - State or local governmental entities
  - Farmers' cooperatives
  - IRC section 527 political organizations
- >Applies to taxable years of the employer beginning after 12/31/17
- >Applies to 5 most highly compensated employees for any taxable year beginning after 12/31/16

## Excise Taxes on Tax-Exempt Employers (Cont'd)

- >Includes compensation from a related person or governmental entity
- >Health care provider fees for services excepted
- >Compensation generally means IRC section 3401 wages
- >IRC section 457(f) deferred compensation deemed "paid" when required to be included in income

# Excise Taxes on Tax-Exempt Employers (Cont'd)

- >Severance pay excise tax is imposed under a structure that is similar to the golden parachute rules
- >Excise tax is triggered by severance of at least 3x average compensation for the 5-year period ending before the year of severance
- >Certain compensation is excluded:
  - Health care provider fees for services
  - Payments under a qualified plan, tax-deferred annuity, or eligible deferred compensation plan of state or local governmental employers
  - 280G principles apply in calculating value of severance

# Excise Taxes on Tax-Exempt Employers (Cont'd)

- >Once triggered, excise tax applies to severance in excess of <u>1x</u> base amount (generally, 1 x average compensation for 5-year period ending before year of severance)
- >Severance need not be in excess of \$1 million to trigger the excise tax
- >The excise tax will not be applied twice to the same amount of pay for an individual who receives excessive severance and compensation in excess of \$1 million in a taxable year

# Elimination of the Affordable Care Act Individual Mandate

- >ACA requires individuals to maintain minimum essential (health) coverage
- >"Individual Responsibility Payment"
  - 2017 and 2018: \$695
  - Reduced to \$0 for months beginning after December
     31, 2018

## Fringe Benefits

- >Suspension of Exclusion for Qualified Bicycle Commuting Reimbursement
  - Prior law allowed qualified employer reimbursements of up to \$20 per month
    - Cost of purchasing and maintaining a bicycle used for commuting to work
  - Suspended for taxable years beginning after
     December 31, 2017 and before January 1, 2026

# Fringe Benefits (Cont'd)

# >Repeal of Exclusion for Qualified Moving Expense Reimbursements

- Under prior law, employer-provided moving expense reimbursements were excludible from an employee's gross income if the expense would have been a deductible moving expense.
- Exclusion does not apply for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017 and before January 1, 2026, except for members of the Armed Forces.

## **New Disability Claims Procedures**

- > Effective date delayed from January 1, 2018 to April 1, 2018
- > Claims Subject to the Regulations
  - Any ERISA plan benefit that:
    - is conditioned on the claimant being disabled; and
    - plan administrator must determine whether the claimant is disabled.
  - Disability plans
  - 401(k) plans
  - Nonqualified deferred compensation plans
- > Regulations do not apply if the determination is made by another plan or a third party
  - A long-term disability plan
  - Social Security Administration

# New Disability Claims Procedures (Cont'd)

### > Requirements

- Claims adjudicated in a manner that ensures the independence and impartiality of the decision-maker
  - Hiring, promotion, compensation, termination may not be based on likelihood of claims denial
- Notices must be written in a linguistically appropriate manner
- Claimants must be informed of right to access their file
- Denial notices must include a full discussion of the claim including
  - Standards applied in making the decision
  - Why the views of healthcare and vocational professionals or the Social Security Administration were not followed
- Claimants must be allowed to present evidence and testimony
- Appeal determinations may not be based on new evidence or rationales unless the claimant is given an opportunity to respond
- Coverage rescissions treated as claims denials
  - Denial, reduction or termination of a benefit or failure to pay benefit

# New Disability Claims Procedures (Cont'd)

### >Next Steps

- Review plans to determine if they are subject to the new regulations
- Revise summary plan descriptions and amend plan documents
- Update disability claim denial letters
- Confirm that third party administrators will comply

# Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018

### > Easing of Hardship Withdrawal Rules

- 401(k) and 403(b) plans
- Effective for plan years beginning after December 31, 2018
- No requirement to first obtain a plan loan
- May be made from qualified nonelective contributions and qualified matching contributions
- Six-month suspension of elective deferrals eliminated

# Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 (Cont'd)

### > Easing of Hardship Withdrawal Rules

- 401(k) and 403(b) plans
- Effective for plan years beginning after December 31, 2018
- No requirement to first obtain a plan loan
- May be made from qualified nonelective contributions and qualified matching contributions
- Six-month suspension of elective deferrals eliminated

#### > Tax Relief for California Wildfire Disaster Distributions

- No 10% early withdrawal penalty
- Not subject to mandatory income tax withholding
- Include distribution in income over three years

### > Contributing IRS Levy Refunds

 Return of amounts withdrawn from a qualified plan or IRA, plus interest, to the plan or IRA

### Questions?



Nona K. Massengill
Williams Mullen
804.420.6569
nmassengill@williamsmullen.com



Brydon M. DeWitt
Williams Mullen
804.420.6917
bdewitt@williamsmullen.com

<u>Please note:</u> This presentation contains general, condensed summaries of actual legal matters, statutes and opinions for information purposes. It is not meant to be and should not be construed as legal advice. Individuals with particular needs on specific issues should retain the services of competent counsel.